arXiv:1512.04066v1 [math.CT] 13 Dec 2015

BECK-CHEVALLEY CONDITION AND GOURSAT CATEGORIES

MARINO GRAN AND DIANA RODELO

Abstract. We characterise regular Goursat categories through a specific stability property of regular epimorphisms with respect to pullbacks. Under the assumption of the existence of some pushouts this property can be also expressed as a restricted Beck-Chevalley condition, with respect to the fibration of points, for a special class of commutative squares. In the case of varieties of universal algebras these results give, in particular, a structural explanation of the existence of the ternary operations characterising 3-permutable varieties of universal algebras. We then prove that the reflector to any (regular epi)-reflective subcategory of a regular Goursat category preserves pullbacks of split epimorphisms. This implies that the so-called internal Galois pregroupoid of an extension is an internal groupoid.

Introduction

A variety of universal algebras is called a Mal'tsev variety [25] when any pair of congruences R and S on the same algebra 2-permute, meaning that $RS = SR$. The celebrated Mal'tsev theorem asserts that the algebraic theory of such a variety is characterised by the existence of a ternary term $p(x, y, z)$ such that the identities $p(x, y, y) = x$ and $p(x, x, y) = y$ hold [22]. The weaker 3-permutability of congruences $RSR = SRS$ for a variety is also equivalent to the existence of two ternary operations r and s such that the identities $r(x, y, y) = x$, $r(x, x, y) = s(x, y, y)$ and $s(x, x, y) = y$ hold [17]. A nice feature of 3-permutable varieties is the fact that they are congruence modular, a

Date: December 15, 2015.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 08C05, 08B05, 18C05, 18B99, 18E10.

Key words and phrases. Goursat categories, 3-permutable varieties, Shifting Lemma, Beck-Chevalley condition, reflective subcategories, Galois groupoid.

This work was partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra – UID/MAT/00324/2013, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MEC and co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the Partnership Agreement PT2020.

condition that plays a crucial role in the development of commutator theory [12, 16].

Many interesting results have been discovered in regular Mal'tsev categories [11] and in regular Goursat categories [10], which can be seen as the categorical extensions of Mal'tsev varieties and of 3-permutable varieties, respectively. The interested reader will find many of the properties of these categories in the references [2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 19, 20, 21, 24], for instance.

For Mal'tsev categories, and many other algebraic categories, there are some elegant characterisations expressed in terms of the fibration of points [5, 3]. Recall that, given a category $\mathcal{C}$ with pullbacks, the fibration of points is the functor $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ associating the codomain W to any "point" in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. to any split epimorphism $g\colon U \to W$ with a given splitting $j\colon W \to U$. For any morphism $\beta\colon Y \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}$, the change-of-base functor, defined by pulling back along β, is denoted by $\beta^*\colon \mathrm{Pt}_W(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Pt}_Y(\mathcal{C})$. One of the goals of this paper is to give a characterisation of regular Goursat categories by using this fibration. It turns out that such a characterisation not only involves the change-of-base functors β^* with respect to the fibration of points, but also their left adjoints $\beta_!$, which exist as soon as the category admits pushouts of split monomorphisms [4]. It is precisely the so-called Beck-Chevalley condition (Theorem 1.4) for the commutative squares of the following type

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & U \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow\uparrow{\scriptstyle i} & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow\uparrow{\scriptstyle j} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} & W, \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where α and β are regular epimorphisms and f and g are split epimorphisms (i.e. the pair (α, β) is a regular epimorphism in the category $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathcal{C})$). In fact, the Goursat property can be also expressed in terms of the functors $\beta_!$ alone: $\beta_!$ preserves binary products, for any regular epimorphism β. The proof of these results also relies on the fact that the Shifting Lemma [16] holds in any regular Goursat category [6], since the lattice of equivalence relations on any object is modular [10]. A more general characterisation of Goursat categories among regular categories is also obtained without requiring the existence of pushouts along split monomorphisms (Theorem 1.3). In the varietal case, the existence of the ternary operations characterising 3-permutable varieties mentioned above can be deduced from this theorem by applying it to a suitable diagram involving free algebras (Remark 1.5).

As a consequence of the results in this paper, we obtain an extension to regular Goursat categories of a known result in the regular Mal'tsev context (Proposition 3.6 in [9]): the reflector to a (regular epi)-reflective subcategory of a regular Goursat category always preserves pullbacks of split epimorphisms along split epimorphisms (Proposition 2.1). It then follows that the so-called internal Galois pregroupoid [18] associated to an extension is necessarily an internal groupoid (Corollary 2.3).

Let us finally mention that the possibility of formulating some exactness properties of Mal'tsev categories in terms of a suitable Beck-Chevalley condition has been first suggested to the authors by Zurab Janelidze during the *Workshop in Category Theory* at the University of Coimbra in 2012, where the work [14] was presented. We would like to warmly thank Zurab for this suggestion. The present paper shows that a suitable Beck-Chevalley condition characterises Goursat categories. Independently, Clemens Berger and Dominique Bourn have discovered a stronger condition that holds in the special case of exact Mal'tsev categories (Proposition 1.24 in [2]).

1. Goursat categories

In this section we give a new characterisation for a regular category [1] to be a Goursat category through a stability property of regular epimorphisms, similar to the known characterisation for regular Mal'tsev categories given in Proposition 3.6 in [14].

Recall that a regular category $\mathcal{C}$ is called a *Goursat* category [10] when the composition of (effective) equivalence relations R and S on a same object in $\mathcal{C}$ is 3-permutable: $RSR = SRS$. Given a relation $R = (R, r_1, r_2)$ from an object X to an object Y, we write R^o for the opposite relation (R, r_2, r_1) from Y to X.

From [10] and [20] we have:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regular category. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a Goursat category;*
(ii) *$E^\circ \leqslant EE$, for any reflexive relation E;*
(iii) *$(1_X \wedge T)T^\circ(1_X \wedge T) \leqslant TT$, for any relation T on an object X;*
(iv) *$PP^\circ PP^\circ \leqslant PP^\circ$, for any relation P.*

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). By Theorem 1 in [20].
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv). By Theorem 3.5 of [10].
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). This type of equivalence was mentioned at the end of [20]. Note that condition (iii) is a stronger version of condition (ii), so that it will suffice to prove that (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). In a regular context, it suffices to

give a proof in set-theoretical terms (see Metatheorem $A.5.7$ in [3], for instance). Suppose that $(x,x) \in T, (y,x) \in T$ and $(y,y) \in T$. We want to prove that $(x,y) \in TT$, i.e. that $(x,\alpha) \in T$ and $(\alpha,y) \in T$, for some $\alpha \in X$. We define a relation P from $X \times X$ to X by: $((a,b),c) \in P$ if and only if $(a,c) \in T$ and $(c,b) \in T$. One then sees that

$$(x,x)Px, (y,x)Px, (y,x)Py, (y,y)Py$$

implying that

$$(x,x)PP^{\circ}PP^{\circ}(y,y).$$

By condition (iv) it follows that

$$(x,x)PP^{\circ}(y,y)$$

and there is an $\alpha \in X$ such that

$$(x,x)P\alpha, (y,y)P\alpha.$$

One concludes then that

$$xT\alpha Ty.$$

□

Before proving the main results of this section we need to recall a useful property of regular Goursat categories, namely the validity of the so called *Shifting Lemma* [16]. In the context of varieties of universal algebras this property is equivalent to the modularity of the lattice of congruences on any of its algebras. The modularity of the lattice $(L_X, \vee, \wedge)$ of equivalence relations on any object X also holds in any regular Goursat category, as shown in [10]. More precisely, given equivalence relations R, S and T in L_X,

$$R \leqslant T \Rightarrow R \vee (S \wedge T) = (R \vee S) \wedge T.$$

In this context, the supremum $R \vee S$ of two equivalence relations in L_X is given by the triple relational composite $R \vee S = RSR$. By using generalized elements the validity of the Shifting Lemma can be expressed as follows:

Shifting Lemma

Given equivalence relations R, S and T on the same object X such that $R \wedge S \leqslant T$, whenever x, y, t, z are elements in X with $(x,y) \in R \wedge T$, $(x,t) \in S$, $(y,z) \in S$ and $(t,z) \in R$, it then follows that $(t,z) \in T$:



(2)

The Shifting Lemma holds in any regular Goursat category, as we are now going to recall by using the internal logic of a regular category. Given a diagram (2), the 3-permutability of the equivalence relations implies that

$$(t, z) \in S(R \wedge T)S = (R \wedge T)S(R \wedge T).$$

Accordingly, there exist a and b such that $(t, a) \in R \wedge T$, $(a, b) \in S$, and $(b, z) \in R \wedge T$. Then (a, b) is also in R, thus in T, since $R \wedge S \leq T$; it follows that $(t, z) \in T$, as desired.

The property expressed by the Shifting Lemma has been extended to a categorical context in [7], giving rise to the notion of Gumm category. Indeed, the Shifting Lemma can be equivalently reformulated in any finitely complete category $\mathcal{C}$ by asking that a specific class of internal functors are discrete fibrations (see [6] and [7] for more details).

One of the fundamental results in this paper is given in Theorem 1.3 below, where regular Goursat categories are characterised by a stability property of regular epimorphisms with respect to pullbacks. Such a stability condition is an extension of the following one were regular epimorphisms are stable with respect to kernel pairs:

Theorem 1.2. [13] *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regular category. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(*i*) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a Goursat category;*
(*ii*) *any pushout where α and β are regular epimorphisms and f and g are split epimorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & U \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow\uparrow{\scriptstyle i} & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow\uparrow{\scriptstyle j} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} & W \end{array}$$

is a Goursat pushout*: the morphism $\lambda\colon \mathrm{Eq}(f) \to \mathrm{Eq}(g)$ induced by the universal property of the kernel pair $\mathrm{Eq}(g)$ of g is a regular epimorphism.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regular category. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a Goursat category;*

(ii) *for any commutative cube*



(3)

where the left and right faces are pullbacks of split epimorphisms and α, β and γ are regular epimorphisms (commuting also with the splittings), then the comparison morphism $\lambda\colon X \times_Y Z \to U \times_W V$ is a regular epimorphism;

(iii) *for any commutative cube*



(4)

where the left square is a pullback of split epimorphisms, the right square is a commutative square of split epimorphisms and the horizontal arrows $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are regular epimorphisms (commuting also with the splittings), then the right square is a pullback.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Again, it suffices to give a proof in set-theoretical terms. Let $(u, v) \in U \times_W V$. Then there exist $x \in X$ and $z \in Z$ such that $\alpha(x) = u$ and $\gamma(z) = v$; thus $g\alpha(x) = h\gamma(z)$. We define a binary relation R on $Y \times U \times V$ by:

$$((y_1, u_1, v_1), (y_2, u_2, v_2)) \in R$$

if $y_1 = l(\bar{z}), u_1 = \alpha(\bar{x}), y_2 = f(\bar{x})$ and $v_2 = \gamma(\bar{z})$, for some $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{z}$. We have the following relations:
$\cdot\ (f(x), \alpha(x), \gamma kf(x))\, R\, (f(x), \alpha(x), \gamma kf(x))$, for $\bar{x} = x$ and $\bar{z} = kf(x)$;

$\cdot\ (l(z), \alpha if(x), \gamma(z))\, R\, (f(x), \alpha(x), \gamma kl(z))$, for $\bar{x} = if(x)$ and $\bar{z} = kl(z)$;

$\cdot\ (l(z), \alpha il(z), \gamma(z))\, R\, (l(z), \alpha il(z), \gamma(z))$, for $\bar{x} = il(z)$ and $\bar{z} = z$.
From $g\alpha(x) = h\gamma(z)$, we get that $\gamma kf(x) = \gamma kl(z)$ and $\alpha if(x) = \alpha il(z)$. So:

$$(f(x), \alpha(x), \gamma kf(x))(1_{Y\times U\times V} \wedge R)R^{\circ}(1_{Y\times U\times V} \wedge R)(l(z), \alpha il(z), \gamma(z)).$$

From Theorem 1.1(iii), we can conclude that

$$(f(x), \alpha(x), \gamma kf(x))\, RR\, (l(z), \alpha il(z), \gamma(z)).$$

So, $(f(x), \alpha(x), \gamma kf(x))R(y', u', v')R(l(z), \alpha il(z), \gamma(z))$, for some (y', u', v'). From the definition of R we can conclude that: $l(\bar{z}) = f(x)$, $\alpha(\bar{x}) = \alpha(x)$, $f(\bar{x}) = y'$, $\gamma(\bar{z}) = v'$ and $l(\bar{\bar{z}}) = y'$, $\alpha(\bar{\bar{x}}) = u'$, $f(\bar{\bar{x}}) = l(z)$, $\gamma(\bar{\bar{z}}) = \gamma(z)$, for some $\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{\bar{x}}, \bar{\bar{z}}$. Then, there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{\bar{z}}) \in X \times_Y Z$, since $f(\bar{x}) = y' = l(\bar{\bar{z}})$, such that $\lambda(\bar{x}, \bar{\bar{z}}) = (\alpha(\bar{x}), \gamma(\bar{\bar{z}})) = (\alpha(x), \gamma(z)) = (u, v)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). We can consider the left and right faces in the cube (3) to be kernel pairs of split epimorphisms. Then condition (ii) translates into the statement of Theorem 1.2(ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). By assumption we know that the induced arrow $\lambda\colon X \times_Y Z \to U \times_W V$ is a regular epimorphism, and this implies that the unique induced arrow $c\colon A \to U \times_W V$ such that $c\delta = \lambda$ is a regular epimorphism as well. To show that c is also a monomorphism it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Eq}(\lambda) \subset \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$, since one always has the inclusion $\mathrm{Eq}(\delta) \subset \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda)$.
As a preliminary step, we first show that $\mathrm{Eq}(\pi_X) \wedge \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda) \leq \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$. Consider an element $((x, z), (x, w)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\pi_X) \wedge \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda)$. This implies that $f(x) = l(z) = l(w)$, and $(z, w) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\gamma)$: accordingly, $((il(z), z), (il(w), w)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$. Define then the relation P from $X \times_Y Z$ to Z as follows:

$$((a, b), c) \in P \text{ if and only if } ((a, b), (a, c)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta),$$

so that also $(a, c) \in X \times_Y Z$ by definition. Then:
$\cdot\ ((x, z), z) \in P$;
$\cdot\ ((il(z), w), z) \in P$, since $l(z) = l(w)$ and $((il(z), z), (il(w), w)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$, as observed above;
$\cdot\ ((il(w), w), w) \in P$;
$\cdot\ ((x, w), w) \in P$.
It follows that $((x, z), (x, w)) \in PP^{\circ}PP^{\circ}$ and, from Theorem 1.1, we get $((x, z), (x, w)) \in PP^{\circ}$. There is then a θ such that $((x, z), \theta) \in P$ and $((x, w), \theta) \in P$. The fact that $\mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$ is an equivalence relation implies that $((x, z), (x, w)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$, since $((x, z), (x, \theta)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$ and $((x, w), (x, \theta)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$. It follows that that $\mathrm{Eq}(\pi_X) \wedge \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda) \leq \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$.

Consider then an element $((x_1, z_1), (x_2, z_2)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda)$: this means that $f(x_1) = l(z_1)$, $f(x_2) = l(z_2)$ and $\lambda(x_1, z_1) = \lambda(x_2, z_2)$ (thus, in particular, $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(x_2)$). We are going to show that $\delta(x_1, z_1) = \delta(x_2, z_2)$. To do so, we apply the *Shifting Lemma* to the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x_1, kf(x_1)) & \xrightarrow{\quad\mathrm{Eq}(\pi_X)\quad} & (x_1, z_1) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)}\big(\quad \big| {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda)} & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda)}\big| \quad \big) {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)} \\ (x_2, kf(x_2)) & \xrightarrow[\quad\mathrm{Eq}(\pi_X)\quad]{} & (x_2, z_2), \end{array}$$

where the solid lines represent relations holding by assumption. Note that all the elements $(x_1, z_1), (x_2, z_2), (x_1, kf(x_1)), (x_2, kf(x_2))$ are in $X \times_Y Z$ and, moreover, $((x_1, kf(x_1)), (x_2, kf(x_2))) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda)$: this follows from the fact that $((x_1, kf(x_1)), (x_2, kf(x_2))) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$ (since $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(x_2)$). The inequality $\mathrm{Eq}(\pi_X) \wedge \mathrm{Eq}(\lambda) \leq \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$ allows one to apply the Shifting Lemma to the diagram above and to conclude that also the relation in the dashed line holds, i.e. $((x_1, z_1), (x_2, z_2)) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\delta)$. □

In the last part of this section we give a characterisation of regular Goursat categories through the fibration of points. Thus, we add Goursat categories to the list of (many) algebraic categories characterised in these terms (see [5, 3]).

A *point* in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a split epimorphism $f\colon X \to Y$ together with a fixed splitting $i\colon Y \to X$, usually depicted as

$$X \underset{i}{\overset{f}{\rightleftarrows}} Y\,.$$

The category of points in $\mathcal{C}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathcal{C})$. When $\mathcal{C}$ has pullbacks of split epimorphisms, the functor sending a point to its codomain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Pt}(\mathcal{C}) & \to & \mathcal{C} \\ U \underset{j}{\overset{g}{\rightleftarrows}} W & \mapsto & W \end{array}$$

is a fibration, called the *fibration of points* [4]. Given a morphism $\beta\colon Y \to W$, the change-of-base functor with respect to this fibration is denoted by $\beta^*\colon \mathrm{Pt}_W(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Pt}_Y(\mathcal{C})$. If $\mathcal{C}$ has, moreover, pushouts along split monomorphisms, then any pullback functor β^* has a left adjoint

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \beta_! : \quad \mathrm{Pt}_Y(\mathcal{C}) & \to & \mathrm{Pt}_W(\mathcal{C}), \\ X \underset{i}{\overset{f}{\rightleftarrows}} Y & \mapsto & \beta_!(X) \underset{\beta_!(i)}{\overset{\beta_!(f)}{\rightleftarrows}} W \end{array}$$

where $(\beta_!(X), \beta_!(f), \beta_!(i)) \in \mathrm{Pt}_W(\mathcal{C})$ is determined by the right hand part of the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\overline{\beta}} & \beta_!(X) \\ {\scriptstyle i}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_!(i)} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} & W. \end{array}$$

Observe that the arrow $\overline{\beta}$ in the diagram above is a regular epimorphism whenever β is a regular epimorphism.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a regular category with pushouts along split mono-morphisms. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a Goursat category;*
(ii) *for any regular epimorphism $\beta\colon Y \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}$ the functor $\beta_!\colon \mathsf{Pt}_Y(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathsf{Pt}_W(\mathcal{C})$ preserves binary products;*
(iii) *for any commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & U \\ {\scriptstyle f}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & {\scriptstyle g}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle j} \\ Y & \xrightarrow[\beta]{} & W \end{array}$$

where α and β are regular epimorphisms, while f and g are split epimorphisms, the Beck-Chevalley condition holds: there is a functor isomorphism $\alpha_! f^* \cong g^* \beta_!$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Given a point (Z, l, k) over Y consider the pullback defining $f^*(Z, l, k)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Y Z & \overset{\pi_Z}{\rightleftarrows} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_X}\uparrow\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle l}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle k} \\ X & \underset{f}{\overset{i}{\rightleftarrows}} & Y. \end{array} \tag{5}$$

The following commutative cube is obtained by applying the functor $\alpha_!$ and $\beta_!$ to the points $f^*(Z,l,k)$ (over X) and (Z,l,k) (over Y), respectively:



This diagram is of the form (4). Consequently, the right face is a pullback by Theorem 1.3(iii), so that $g^*\beta_! \cong \alpha_! f^*$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let (X,f,i) and (Z,l,k) be points over Y and consider their product in $\mathrm{Pt}_Y(\mathcal{C})$, which is given by the pullback (5). We take its image through the functor $\beta_!\colon \mathrm{Pt}_Y(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Pt}_W(\mathcal{C})$:



(6)

By applying the assumption to the bottom commutative square, we conclude that the right face is a pullback, i.e. $\beta_!$ preserves binary products.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Consider the diagram (3), where α, β, γ are assumed to be regular epimorphisms, and let us show that the induced arrow λ is also a regular epimorphism. The image of the points over Y of the left face of (3) by the functor $\beta_!$ determines the commutative diagram (6).

One then obtains the following commutative diagram



(7)

where the arrows σ, τ and ϕ are induced by the universal properties of the pushouts defining $\beta_!(X), \beta_!(Z)$ and $\beta_!(X \times_Y Z)$, respectively, and $\phi\overline{\beta} = \lambda$. The fact that α and γ are regular epimorphisms implies that σ and τ are regular epimorphisms, while the assumption guarantees that the left face in the diagram (7) is a pullback in $\mathcal{C}$. It then follows that the induced arrow ϕ is a regular epimorphism as well, and so is then the arrow $\lambda\colon X \times_Y Z \to U \times_W V$. This shows that condition (ii) in Theorem 1.3 is satisfied, and $\mathcal{C}$ is then a Goursat category, as desired. □

Remark 1.5. A variety of universal algebras is 3-permutable when its algebraic theory has two ternary operations r and s such that the identities $r(x, y, y) = x$, $r(x, x, y) = s(x, y, y)$ and $s(x, x, y) = y$ hold [17]. We can prove the existence of such ternary operations by applying the property stated in Theorem 1.3(ii) to the following commutative cube



where X is the free algebra on one element, kX denotes a k-indexed copower of X and i_j the canonical j-th injection, P denotes the object part of the pullback defining the left face and $\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} 1_X & 1_X \end{pmatrix} : 2X \to X$ is the codiagonal. By Theorem 1.3(ii), the comparison morphism λ is a surjective homomorphism. The terms $p_1(x,y) = x$ and $p_2(x,y) = y$ are such that $(p_1, p_2) \in \mathrm{Eq}(\nabla)$. Since λ is surjective, there exist ternary terms, say r and s, such that:
$(r,s) \in P$, from which we deduce that $r(x,x,y) = s(x,y,y)$, and
$\lambda(r,s) = (p_1,p_2)$, which gives $r(x,y,y) = x$ and $s(x,x,y) = y$.

2. Reflections for Goursat categories

In this section we show that any (regular epi)-reflective subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ of a regular Goursat category $\mathcal{C}$ has the property that the reflector $I\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ preserves pullbacks of split epimorphisms along split epimorphisms, extending Proposition 3.6 in [9]. Consequently, every internal Galois pregroupoid of an extension is an internal groupoid.

Proposition 2.1. *Consider a (regular epi)-reflective subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ of a regular Goursat category $\mathcal{C}$*

$$\mathcal{C} \underset{U}{\overset{I}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathcal{X},$$

where $U\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a full inclusion. Then the reflector $I\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ preserves pullbacks of pairs of split epimorphisms.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram where the left face is a pullback of split epimorphisms, the right face is its image through the functor $UI\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and η denotes the unit of the adjunction:



This commutative diagram verifies the conditions of the commutative diagram (4) in Theorem 1.3. It follows that the right face is a pullback, since $\mathcal{C}$ is a Goursat category. But $U\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a full inclusion that preserves and reflects pullbacks, and this completes the proof. □

We follow [19] in calling a (regular epi)-reflective subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ of an exact category $\mathcal{C}$ a *Birkhoff* subcategory if, moreover, $\mathcal{X}$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}$ under regular quotients.

Corollary 2.2. *Consider a Birkhoff subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ of an exact Goursat category $\mathcal{C}$*

$$\mathcal{C} \underset{U}{\overset{I}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathcal{X},$$

where $U\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a full inclusion. Then the reflector $I\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ preserves pullbacks of split epimorphisms along regular epimorphisms.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{p_2} & C \\ {\scriptstyle p_1}\downarrow\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow\uparrow \\ A & \underset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} & B \end{array} \tag{8}$$

be a pullback of a split epimorphism g along a regular epimorphism p. By taking the kernel pairs $\mathrm{Eq}(p_2)$ of p_2 and $\mathrm{Eq}(p)$ of p and then applying UI one gets the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} UI(\mathrm{Eq}(p_2)) & \rightrightarrows & UI(P) & \xrightarrow{UI(p_2)} & UI(C) \\ \downarrow\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle UI(p_1)}\downarrow\uparrow & \text{(A)} & {\scriptstyle UI(g)}\downarrow\uparrow \\ UI(\mathrm{Eq}(p)) & \rightrightarrows & UI(A) & \underset{UI(p)}{\twoheadrightarrow} & UI(B). \end{array} \tag{9}$$

The two (downward oriented) commutative squares on the left are pullbacks, since UI preserves pullbacks of split epimorphisms along split epimorphisms. It suffices to prove that (A) is a pullback, since U reflects pullbacks. Now, by taking the regular image of $\mathrm{Eq}(p_2)$ along the regular epimorphism η_P one gets an effective equivalence relation $\eta_P(\mathrm{Eq}(p_2))$ on $UI(P)$, since $\mathcal{C}$ is an exact Goursat category. Moreover, $UI(p_2)$ is its coequaliser in $\mathcal{C}$, since $\mathcal{X}$ is stable in $\mathcal{C}$ under regular quotients. Accordingly, $\eta_P(\mathrm{Eq}(p_2)) \cong \mathrm{Eq}(UI(p_2))$. Similarly, $\eta_A(\mathrm{Eq}(p)) \cong \mathrm{Eq}(UI(p))$ yielding the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} UI(\mathrm{Eq}(p_2)) & \cdots\twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Eq}(UI(p_2)) & \rightrightarrows & UI(P) \\ \downarrow\uparrow & & \downarrow\uparrow & \text{(B)} & {\scriptstyle UI(p_1)}\downarrow\uparrow \\ UI(\mathrm{Eq}(p)) & \cdots\twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Eq}(UI(p)) & \rightrightarrows & UI(A). \end{array}$$

The exterior rectangles are the left pullbacks in diagram (9) and the dotted arrows are regular epimorphisms by construction. By applying Proposition 4.1 in [15] we conclude that the squares (B) are pullbacks. By the usually called Barr-Kock theorem [1] we conclude that (A) is a pullback, as desired. □

We finally observe that the so-called internal Galois pregroupoids are always internal groupoids in the Goursat context.

First recall from [18] that an *internal precategory* in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a diagram of the form

$$P_2 \underset{\underset{p_2}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\overset{\overset{p_1}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\xrightarrow[m]{}}} P_1 \underset{\underset{d_2}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\overset{\overset{d_1}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\xleftarrow[s]{}}} P_0$$

with

(1) $d_1 s = 1_{P_0} = d_2 s$;
(2) $d_2 p_1 = d_1 p_2$;
(3) $d_1 p_1 = d_1 m$, $d_2 p_2 = d_2 m$.

In other words, an internal precategory in $\mathcal{C}$ can be seen as what remains of the definition of an internal category in $\mathcal{C}$ when one cancels all references to pullbacks.

Every extension $f\colon A \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ induces the internal groupoid given by the equivalence relation determined by the kernel pair of f:

$$\mathrm{Eq}(f) \times_A \mathrm{Eq}(f) \underset{\underset{p_2}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\overset{\overset{p_1}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\xrightarrow[m]{}}} \mathrm{Eq}(f) \underset{\underset{d_2}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\overset{\overset{d_1}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\xleftarrow[s]{}}} A$$

By applying the left adjoint $I\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ of the inclusion functor $U\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$ to this equivalence relation one always obtains an internal precategory in $\mathcal{X}$:

$$I(\mathrm{Eq}(f) \times_A \mathrm{Eq}(f)) \underset{\underset{I(p_2)}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\overset{\overset{I(p_1)}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\xrightarrow[I(m)]{}}} I(\mathrm{Eq}(f)) \underset{\underset{I(d_2)}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\overset{\overset{I(d_1)}{\xrightarrow{\quad}}}{\xleftarrow[I(s)]{}}} I(A)$$

This special kind of internal precategory is called the *internal Galois pregroupoid of* f and denoted by $\mathsf{Gal}(f)$. It turns out to always be an internal groupoid in the Goursat context:

Corollary 2.3. *Consider a (regular epi)-reflective subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ of a regular Goursat category $\mathcal{C}$*

$$\mathcal{C} \underset{U}{\overset{I}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\bot}}}} \mathcal{X},$$

where $U\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a full inclusion. Given any extension $f\colon A \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then the internal Galois pregroupoid $\mathsf{Gal}(f)$ is an internal groupoid.

Proof. An internal precategory is an internal groupoid when certain commutative squares of split epimorphisms are pullbacks (see [3], for instance). The result follows immediately from the fact that those pullbacks are preserved by I (Proposition 2.1). □

References

[1] M. Barr, Exact Categories, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.* 236, Springer 1-120 (1971)

[2] C. Berger and D. Bourn, *Central reflections and nilpotency in exact Mal'cev categories*, Preprint, 2015, arXiv:1511.00824.

[3] F. Borceux and D. Bourn, *Mal'cev, protomodular, homological and semi-abelian categories*, Kluwer, (2004)

[4] D. Bourn, *Normalization equivalence, kernel equivalence and affine categories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1488 (1991) 43-62.

[5] D. Bourn, *Mal'cev categories and fibration of pointed objects*, Applied Categorical Structures 4 (1996) 307-327.

[6] D. Bourn and M. Gran, *Categorical Aspects of Modularity.* Galois Theory, Hopf Algebras and Semiabelian Categories, Fields Instit. Commun., 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI (2004) 77-100.

[7] D. Bourn and M. Gran, *Normal sections and direct product decompositions* Comm. Algebra. 32 (2004) no. 10, 3825-3842.

[8] D. Bourn and G. Janelidze, *Protomodularity, descent and semidirect products*, Theory Appl. Categ. Vol. 4 (2) (1998) 37-46.

[9] D. Bourn and D. Rodelo, *Comprehensive factorization and I-central extensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012) 598-617.

[10] A. Carboni, G.M. Kelly, M.C. Pedicchio, *Some remarks on Maltsev and Goursat categories*, Appl. Categ. Structures 1, no.4 (1993) 385-421.

[11] A. Carboni, J. Lambek, M.C. Pedicchio, *Diagram chasing in Mal'cev categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 69, no. 3 (1991) 271-284.

[12] R. Freese and R. McKenzie, Commutator theory for congruence modular varieties, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987).

[13] M. Gran and D. Rodelo, *A new characterisation of Goursat categories*, Appl. Categ. Structures Vol. 20 (2012) 229-238.

[14] M. Gran and D. Rodelo, *The Cuboid Lemma and Mal'tsev categories*, Appl. Categ. Structures 22(5) (2014) 805-816.

[15] M. Gran and D. Rodelo, *Some remarks on pullbacks in Gumm categories*, Texts on Mathematics, 46, University of Coimbra (2014) 125-136.

[16] H.P. Gumm, *Geometrical methods in congruence modular algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1983), no. 286.

[17] J. Hagemann and A. Mitschke, *On n-permutable congruences*, Algebra Universalis **3** (1973), 8–12.

[18] G. Janelidze, *Precategories and Galois theory*, Lecture Notes Math. 1488, Springer (1991) 157-173.

[19] G. Janelidze and G.M. Kelly, *Galois theory and a general notion of central extension*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 97 (1994), no. 2, 135-161

[20] Z. Janelidze, D. Rodelo, T. Van der Linden, *Hagemann's theorem for regular categories*, Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures 9(1) (2014) 55-66.
[21] S. Lack, *The* 3*-by-*3 *lemma for regular Goursat categories*, Homology, Homotopy Appl., 6(1), (2004) 1-3.
[22] A. I. Mal'cev, *On the general theory of algebraic systems*, Mat. Sbornik N. S. **35** (1954), no. 6, 3–20.
[23] A. Mitschke, *Implication algebras are 3-permutable and 3-distributive*, Algebra Universalis **1** (1971), 182–186.
[24] M. C. Pedicchio, *A categorical approach to commutator theory*, J. Algebra, 177 (1995) 647-657.
[25] J.D.H. Smith, *Mal'cev Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math. 554 (1976).

Insitut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
E-mail address: marino.gran@uclouvain.be

Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade do Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro and Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra, 3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal
E-mail address: drodelo@ualg.pt